

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,144	08/05/2005	Hirotsugu Kinoshita	07481.0036-00000	9210
22852 7590 1691626968 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			GOLOBOY, JAMES C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/522 144 KINOSHITA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit James Golobov 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
5) Notice of Information Discussive Statement(s) (PTO-9560e)
5) Notice of Information Patent Air lication
Paper Not (Whall Date 3/28/08)
6) Other:

Attachment(s)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/522,144

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's amendment filed 7/17/08 fails to overcome the rejections set forth in the office action mailed 4/2/08, which are maintained below.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/17/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinoshita '683

This rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 2 of the office action mailed 4/2/08, which is incorporated here by reference.

 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeuchi.

This rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 4/2/08, which is incorporated here by reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,144

Art Unit: 1797

 Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticpated by Kinoshita '085.

This rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 4/2/08, which is incorporated here by reference.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 7/17/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the amendment overcomes Comparative Example 6 of Kinoshita '683 because the polysulfides of Kinoshita '683 are not within the scope of extreme pressure agents recited in amended claim 1. However, Kinoshita '683 clearly teaches in column 26 lines 6-30 hat the polysulfides are dihydrocarbyl polysulfides, as recited in amended claim 1.

Applicant additionally argues that the amendment distinguishes the claims over the Takeuchi reference because Takeuchi teaches compositions comprising metal salts that are not within the scope of the rust inhibitors recited in the amended claims. However, Takeuchi teaches, in the abstract and in column 2 lines 10-17, that the metal salt is an *optional* component of the composition, and the compositions of Takeuchi meet the limitations of claims 1-3 in the case where the metal salt is not present.

Applicant further argues that Kinoshita '085 does not meet the limitations of the amended claims because the cited compositions do not contain the claimed rust inhibitors or extreme pressure agents. However, the rust inhibitors and extreme

Application/Control Number: 10/522,144

Art Unit: 1797

pressure agents are optional components, and the amendments therefore do not overcome the Kinoshita '085 reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is (571)272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797